

4-22-05

APR 21 2005 348

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	10/602,938
	Filing Date	June 24, 2003
	First Named Inventor	Thompson M. Sloane
	Art Unit	3748
	Examiner Name	Zelalem Eshete
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	GP-303216 (8540R-000038)


ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form  <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached  <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply  <input type="checkbox"/> After Final  <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement  <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers  <input type="checkbox"/> Petition  <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application  <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address  <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer  <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund  <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)  <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information  <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  return receipt postcard
<div>Remarks</div> <div>EV 570 165 040 US</div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name Michael D. Wiggins	Reg. No. 34,754
Signature			
Date	April 21, 2005		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Typed or printed name	Claudia J. Richard	Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 165 040 US
Signature		Date	April 21, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005</b>		<i>Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).</i>	
		<b>Complete if Known</b>	
		Application Number	10/602,938
		Filing Date	June 24, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Thompson M. Sloane
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Examiner Name	Zelalem Eshete
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$ ) 500	Art Unit	3748
		Attorney Docket No.	GP-303216 (8540R-000038)

**METHOD OF PAYMENT** (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify) : \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 07-0960 Deposit Account Name: General Motors Corporation

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) ☒ Credit any overpayments

Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

**FEE CALCULATION**

**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee(\$)	Fee(\$)	Small Entity Fee(\$)	Fee(\$)	Small Entity Fee(\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	_____
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	_____
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	_____
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	_____
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	_____

**2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**

<u>Fee Description</u>					<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)					50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)					200	100
Multiple dependent claims					360	180
<u>Total Claims</u>	<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>		<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>	<u>Multiple Dependent Claims</u>	
_____ -20 or HP=	<u>0</u>	x	_____ =	<u>0</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.						
<u>Indep. Claims</u>	<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>		<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>		
_____ - 3 or HP=	<u>0</u>	x	_____ =	<u>0</u>		
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.						

**3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE**

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
_____	= 0	/ 50 = 0 (round up to a whole number) x		= 0

**4. OTHER FEE(S)**

	Fees Paid (\$)
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)	_____
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge) : Filing appeal brief (#1402)	500

**SUBMITTED BY**

Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	34,754	Telephone	248-641-1600
Name (Print/Type)	Michael D. Wiggins	Date	April 21, 2005		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.



**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

**Appeal No. \_\_\_\_\_**

Application No.: 10/602,938

Filing Date: June 24, 2003

Applicant: Thompson M. Sloane

Group Art Unit: 3748

Examiner: Zelalem Eshete

Title: ACETYLENE-BASED ADDITION FOR HOMOGENEOUS-  
CHARGE COMPRESSION IGNITION (HCCI) ENGINE  
OPERATION

Attorney Docket: GP-303216 (8540R-000038)

---

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents  
Director of The United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**APPELLANT'S BRIEF**

04/25/2005 FMETEKI1 00000011 070960 10602938

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT.....	4
I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST.....	4
II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES .....	4
III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS.....	4
IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.....	4
V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	5
VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED .....	6
A. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) and further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 1, 2, 8 – 14, 17, 20, 24 – 28, 34 and 35.....	6
B. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Britton (US6314925), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 3, 15 and 29.....	6
C. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Dickey (US5832880), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32.....	6
D. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Bromberg et al. (US5409784), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33.....	6
E. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Ethington (US4690743), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 7 and 23.....	6

F.	Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Lowther et al. (US4965052), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 7 and 23.....	6
VII.	ARGUMENTS.....	7
A.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) does not render obvious the invention of claims 1, 2, 8 – 14, 17, 20, 24 – 28, 34 and 35. ....	7
B.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Britton (US6314925) does not render obvious the invention of claims 3, 15 and 29. ....	11
C.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Dickey (US5832880) does not render obvious the invention of claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32. ....	12
D.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Bromberg et al. (US5409784) does not render obvious the invention of claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33.....	12
E.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Ethington et al. (US4690743) does not render obvious the invention of claims 7 and 23.....	12
F.	The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Lowther et al. (US4965052) does not render obvious the invention of claims 7 and 23. ....	13
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	14
APPENDIX	.....	15

## **BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT**

This is an appeal from the action of the Examiner dated September 10, 2004, finally rejecting claims 1 –17 and 20 – 35 and objecting to claims 18, 19 and 36. Copies of the claims appealed are attached as an appendix.

### **I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

The real party in interest in the present application is General Motors Corporation (Assignee).

### **II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

There are presently no related appeals which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

### **III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**

Claims 1 – 17 and 20 – 35 stand finally rejected. Claims 18, 19 and 36 stand objected to. The rejection of claims 1 – 17 and 20 – 35 is being appealed.

### **IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

An After Final Amendment was filed on December 10, 2004 in response to the Final Office Action dated September 10, 2004. Copies of the claims appealed corresponding to the After Final Amendment are attached as an appendix.



## **V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER**

Claim 1 is directed to a method of operating a homogeneous-charge compression ignition (HCCI) engine. Claim 1 includes initiating fuel injection and concurrently initiating injection of an acetylene-based component into the engine (see Paras. [0022], [0023] and Figure 2), mixing air, the fuel and the acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture (see Para. [0023] and Figure 2) and compressing the combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of the combustion mixture, releasing energy and converting the combustion mixture to exhaust gas (see Para. [0023]).

Claim 13 is also directed to a method of operating an HCCI engine. Claim 13 includes initiating fuel injection and concurrently initiating injection of an acetylene-based component into the engine (see Paras. [0022], [0023] and Figure 2), controlling a supply of the acetylene-based component based on a load of the engine (see Para. [0029]) and controlling a supply of the fuel based on the load of the engine (see Para. [0027]). Claim 13 further includes mixing air, the fuel and the acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture (see Para. [0023] and Figure 2) and compressing the combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of the combustion mixture and convert the combustion mixture to exhaust gas (see Para. [0023]).

Claim 27 is directed to a vehicle that is driven by a homogeneous-charge compression ignition (HCCI) engine. Claim 27 includes a fuel supply that initiates injection of a hydrocarbon fuel in a first amount and an acetylene supply that concurrently initiates injection of an acetylene-based component in a second amount (see Paras. [0022], [0023], Figure 1 and Figure 2). Claim 27 further includes a cylinder having a piston reciprocally driven therein, the cylinder receiving a combustion mixture including a third amount of air,

the first amount of hydrocarbon fuel and the second amount of the acetylene-based component (see Para. [0023] and Figure 2). The piston compresses the combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of the combustion mixture (see Para. [0023]).

## **VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED**

- A. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) and further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 1, 2, 8 – 14, 17, 20, 24 – 28, 34 and 35.
- B. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Britton (US6314925), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 3, 15 and 29.
- C. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Dickey (US5832880), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32.
- D. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Bromberg et al. (US5409784), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33.
- E. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Ethington (US4690743), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 7 and 23.
- F. Whether the combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) further in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Lowther et al. (US4965052), establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), with respect to claims 7 and 23.



## **VII. ARGUMENTS**

- A. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) does not render obvious the invention of claims 1, 2, 8 – 14, 17, 20, 24 – 28, 34 and 35.**

As discussed in detail above, each of claims 1 and 13 include initiating fuel injection and concurrently initiating injection of an acetylene-based component into the engine, mixing air, the fuel and the acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture and compressing the combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of the combustion mixture. Dahung fails to teach or suggest concurrently initiating injection of a fuel and an acetylene-based component, mixing air, the fuel and the acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture and compressing the combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of the combustion mixture.

As discussed in detail in the Amendment filed on August 9, 2004, further clarified in the Amendment filed on December 10, 2004 and as indicated by the Examiner on Page 2 of the Final Office Action issued on September 10, 2004, Dahung fails to teach or suggest concurrently injecting a fuel and an acetylene-based component. Dahung specifically teaches that the main fuel is introduced into the combustion chamber prior to the pilot fuel under high load conditions. Under low load conditions, the pilot fuel is introduced into the combustion chamber prior to introducing the main fuel into the combustion chamber (see Abstract and Col. 2, Line 47 through Col. 3, Line 15). Therefore, Dahung fails to teach or suggest concurrent injection of the main fuel and the pilot fuel.

Bundrick fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung. More specifically, Bundrick simply discloses the use of a single fuel (not a main fuel and a pilot fuel), which can

include one of several types of fuel, including acetylene (see Col. 2, Lines 5 – 18). Because Bundrick fails to teach or suggest multiple fuels or concurrent injection of multiple fuels, Bundrick fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung.

Gonzalez also fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung. More specifically, Gonzalez discloses a hybrid internal combustion engine including a pre-combustion chamber or prechamber 19 having a pilot fuel injector 22 and a spark plug 24. The prechamber 19 connects with a cylinder 15 through a passage 20. The cylinder 15 slidably supports a piston 11 that includes a main combustion chamber recess or bowl 16 formed in a top surface thereof. (see Col. 4, Lines 21 – 50 and Figures 1 – 3).

In operation, the piston 11 compresses air to induce a swirling airflow pattern in the prechamber 19 (Col. 5, Lines 28 – 32). The pilot fuel injector 22 injects a pilot fuel into the prechamber 19 (Col. 5, Lines 33 – 35). The spark plug 24 ignites the pilot fuel within the prechamber 19 inducing a flow of hot exhaust from the prechamber 19 through the passage 22 and into the cylinder 15 and specifically into the main combustion chamber 16 (Col. 5, Lines 41 – 43 and Lines 51 – 54). A main fuel spray 29 is injected into the main combustion chamber 16 and is ignited therein as a result of the heat provided by the exhaust from the prechamber 19.

Gonzalez fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung on several points. Initially, Gonzalez is directed toward spark-ignition engines that include a spark plug to induce combustion. The system of Gonzalez is not applicable to compression ignition engines. Further, Gonzalez requires the pilot fuel to be ignited separately from the main fuel. As described in detail above and throughout the text of Gonzalez, the pilot fuel of Gonzalez is

combusted within the prechamber 19 prior to combustion of the main fuel. Therefore, Gonzalez separately combusts the fuels and does not create a combustion mixture.

Applicant further notes that one skilled in the art would not look to Gonzalez to supplement the deficient teachings of Dahung. More specifically, when applying references under 35 U.S.C. §103, the references must be considered as a whole and must suggest the desirability and thus the obviousness of making the combination (see MPEP §2141). There is no suggestion or motivation to combine the references because Gonzalez teaches away from Dahung. More specifically, Gonzalez requires the pilot fuel and main fuel to be separately injected into different combustion chambers and separately combusted. Dahung teaches that the pilot fuel and the main fuel are mixed and are combusted together. Therefore, the combination of Dahung and Gonzalez is improper.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1 and 13 are respectfully requested.

Claims 2 and 8 – 12 ultimately depend from claim 1, which defines over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 2 and 8 – 12 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claim 1, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Claims 14, 17, 20 and 24 – 26 ultimately depend from claim 13, which defines over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 14, 17, 20 and 24 – 26 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claim 13, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

As discussed above, claim 27 includes a fuel supply that initiates injection of a hydrocarbon fuel in a first amount, an acetylene supply that concurrently initiates injection

of an acetylene-based component in a second amount and a cylinder having a piston reciprocally driven therein, the cylinder receiving a combustion mixture including a third amount of air, the first amount of hydrocarbon fuel and the second amount of the acetylene-based component. Dahung fails to teach or suggest a fuel supply that initiates injection of a hydrocarbon fuel in a first amount, an acetylene supply that concurrently initiates injection of an acetylene-based component in a second amount and a cylinder having a piston reciprocally driven therein, the cylinder receiving a combustion mixture including a third amount of air, the first amount of hydrocarbon fuel and the second amount of the acetylene-based component.

Bundrick fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung and Gonzalez further fails to cure the deficient teachings of Dahung, as discussed in detail above with respect to claims 1 and 13. Therefore, claim 27 defines over the prior art and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 28, 34 and 35 ultimately depend from claim 27, which defines over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 28, 34 and 35 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated with respect to claim 27, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

With regard to the rejections of claims 1, 13 and 27 in general, the Examiner incorrectly relies on the general knowledge of one skilled in the art to combine the references. The facts and the holdings of these cases do not support the Examiner's conclusion under §103. In both In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d, 1596 (CAFC 1988) and In re Jones, 21 USPQ.2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992), the CAFC reversed the Board and the Examiner based upon the Examiner's unsupported reliance upon the general knowledge

of one skilled in the art. As in the instant case, the Examiners in both In re Fine and In re Jones combined features of two references in the same broad category of art and relied upon the general knowledge of one skilled in the art in making the combination. As in the instant case, the Examiners in In re Fine and In re Jones did not support the combinations by identifying specific teachings, suggestions or motivations found in the references.

Both In re Fine and In re Jones reject the proposition that the teaching, suggestion or motivation required by §103 is present simply because the references all relate to the same broad category of art or that unsupported general knowledge of one skilled in the art can be relied upon. The Examiner is essentially asserting that it would be obvious for skilled artisans to try the features of one device in another similar device. The CAFC expressly rejected the “obvious to try theory” in In re Fine at 1598.

In view of the foregoing, the combination of the references is improper and otherwise fail to teach or suggest all of the elements of the claim, as set forth. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that this Board overturn the Examiner’s rejection of claims 1, 13 and 27.

**B. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Britton (US6314925) does not render obvious the invention of claims 3, 15 and 29.**

Claims 3, 15 and 29 ultimately depend from one of claims 1, 13 and 27, which define over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 3, 15 and 29 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claims 1, 13 and 27, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

- C. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Dickey (US5832880) does not render obvious the invention of claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32.**

Claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32 ultimately depend from one of claims 1, 13 and 27, which define over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 4, 16 and 30 – 32 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claims 1, 13 and 27, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

- D. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Bromberg et al. (US5409784) does not render obvious the invention of claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33.**

Claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33 ultimately depend from one of claims 1, 13 and 27, which define over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 5, 6, 21, 22 and 33 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claims 1, 13 and 27, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

- E. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Ethington et al. (US4690743) does not render obvious the invention of claims 7 and 23.**

Claims 7 and 23 ultimately depend from one of claims 1 and 13, which define over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 7 and 23 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claims 1 and 13, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.



**F. The combination of Dahung (EP0643209) and Bundrick (US4419969) in view of Gonzalez (US4765293) and further in view of Lowther et al. (US4965052) does not render obvious the invention of claims 7 and 23.**

Claims 7 and 23 ultimately depend from one of claims 1 and 13, which define over the prior art as discussed in detail above. Therefore, claims 7 and 23 also define over the prior art for at least the reasons stated above with respect to claims 1 and 13, and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

### VIII. CONCLUSION


In view of the above presented discussion, Applicants believe that the pending claims are patentably distinguishable over the art cited by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this Board reverse the final rejection of claims 1 – 21.

Per the fee transmittal submitted herewith, Deposit Account No. 07-0960 has been charged the amount of \$500 for filing the brief in support of this appeal. Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 07-0960.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4-21-2005

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303  
(248) 641-1600

By:   
Christopher Eusebi, Reg. No. 44,672  
Attorney for Applicants

Enclosures: Three (3) copies of Appellant's Brief

RPM

## APPENDIX

1. A method of operating a homogeneous-charge compression ignition (HCCI) engine, comprising:

initiating fuel injection and concurrently initiating injection of an acetylene-based component into said engine;

mixing air, said fuel and said acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture; and

compressing said combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of said combustion mixture, releasing energy and converting said combustion mixture to exhaust gas.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein said acetylene-based component consists essentially of acetylene.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said acetylene-based component comprises acetylene and hydrogen.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein said combustion mixture further comprises engine exhaust.

5. The method of claim 1 further comprising producing said acetylene-based component using a plasma generator.

6. The method of claim 5 wherein said plasma generator uses a voltage and a frequency to produce said acetylene-based component.
7. The method of claim 1 further comprising producing said acetylene-based component with a thermal reactor.
8. The method of claim 1 further comprising drawing said combustion mixture into a cylinder of said HCCI engine.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of mixing air, said fuel and said acetylene-based component occurs within a cylinder of said HCCI engine.
10. The method of claim 1 wherein based on 100 parts by weight of said fuel, said acetylene-based component constitutes up to 20 parts by weight of said fuel.
11. The method of claim 10 wherein said acetylene-based component constitutes at least 2 parts by weight of said fuel.
12. The method of claim 1 further comprising exhausting said exhaust gas.

13. A method of operating a homogeneous-charge compression ignition (HCCI) engine between a high load condition and a low load condition, comprising:

initiating fuel injection and concurrently initiating injection of an acetylene-based component into said engine;

controlling a supply of said acetylene-based component based on a load of said engine;

controlling a supply of said fuel based on said load of said engine;

mixing air, said fuel and said acetylene-based component to form a combustion mixture; and

compressing said combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of said combustion mixture and convert said combustion mixture to exhaust gas.

14. The method of claim 13 wherein said acetylene-based component consists essentially of acetylene.

15. The method of claim 13 wherein said acetylene-based component comprises acetylene and hydrogen.

16. The method of claim 13 wherein said combustion mixture further comprises engine exhaust.

17. The method of claim 13, wherein said step of controlling a supply of said acetylene-based component comprises maintaining a consistent supply regardless of said load.

18. The method of claim 13 wherein said step of controlling a supply of said acetylene-based component comprises terminating said supply when said load is high.

19. The method of claim 13 wherein said step of controlling a supply of said acetylene-based component comprises increasing said supply as said load decreases.

20. The method of claim 13 wherein said step of controlling a mixture amount of said fuel comprises reducing said mixture amount as said load decreases.

21. The method of claim 13 further comprising producing said acetylene-based component using a plasma generator.

22. The method of claim 21 wherein said plasma generator uses an a voltage and a frequency to produce said acetylene-based component.

23. The method of claim 13 further comprising producing said acetylene-based component using a thermal reactor.

24. The method of claim 13 further comprising drawing said combustion mixture into a cylinder of said HCCI engine.



25. The method of claim 13 wherein said step of mixing air, fuel and said acetylene-based component occurs within a cylinder of said HCCI engine.

26. The method of claim 13 further comprising injecting an amount said acetylene-based component within a range of up to 20 weight % of said fuel.

27. A vehicle driven by a homogeneous-charge compression ignition (HCCI) engine, comprising:

a fuel supply that initiates injection of a hydrocarbon fuel in a first amount;

an acetylene supply that concurrently initiates injection of an acetylene-based component in a second amount; and

a cylinder having a piston reciprocally driven therein, said cylinder receiving a combustion mixture including a third amount of air, said first amount of hydrocarbon fuel and said second amount of said acetylene-based component, wherein said piston compresses said combustion mixture to induce auto-ignition of said combustion mixture.

28. The vehicle of claim 27 wherein said acetylene-based component consists essentially of acetylene.

29. The vehicle of claim 27 wherein said acetylene-based component comprises acetylene and hydrogen.

30. The vehicle of claim 27 wherein said combustion mixture further comprises engine exhaust.

31. The vehicle of claim 27 further comprising an inlet valve movable between an open position and a closed position, wherein when in said open position said inlet valve enables a flow of said combustion mixture into said cylinder.

32. The vehicle of claim 27 further comprising:

a fuel injector that selectively injects said first amount of said hydrocarbon fuel into said cylinder;

an acetylene injector that injects said second amount of said acetylene-based component into said cylinder; and

an inlet valve movable between an open position and a closed position, wherein when in said open position said inlet valve enables a flow of said third amount of said air into said cylinder to mix with said hydrocarbon fuel and said acetylene-based component to produce said combustion mixture.

33. The vehicle of claim 27 wherein said acetylene supply is a plasma generator that converts a portion of said hydrocarbon fuel to produce said second amount of said acetylene-based component.

34. The vehicle of claim 27 wherein said second amount of said acetylene-based component is up to 20 weight % of said fuel.

35. The vehicle of claim 27, wherein said second amount of said acetylene-based component varies based on a load of said HCCI engine.

36. The vehicle of claim 27, wherein said second amount of said acetylene-based component remains constant regardless of a load of said HCCI engine.